8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF WASHINGTON WESTERN DISTRIC 1468

BETTY JEAN NAGEL.

Plaintiff,

JOHN or JANE DOE I through VI and CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal corporation,

Defendants.

King County Superior Court Cause No. 04-2-04864-8SEA

VERIFICATION OF STATE COURT RECORDS

VERIFICATION

The undersigned hereby declares the following:

- 1. The undersigned are attorneys of record for defendant City of Seattle.
- 2. Pursuant to CR 101(b), attached are true and correct copies of all records and proceedings in the Superior Court of King County, Washington in the above-entitled action, Cause No. 04-2-04864-8SEA:

04-CV-01468-DOCTRM

VERIFICATION OF STATE COURT **RECORDS - 1**

M:\CUENTS\3019\25992\PLQ Removal-Verification.doc ORIGINAL

Stafford Frey Cooper

3100 TWO UNION SQUARE 601 UNION SCREET TILE, WASHINGTON 98101-1374 Fax (206) 624-6865

1	<u>Exhibit</u>	Document
2	1	Civil Cover Sheet
3	ľ	Case Assignment Designation
4		Summons and Complaint
5	2	Order Setting Civil Case Schedule
6	3	Affidavit / Declaration of Service
7	4	Notice of Appearance - City of Seattle
8		12
9	DATED this	23 day of June, 2004 at Seattle, Washington.
10		STAFFORD FREY COOPER Professional Corporation
11		
12		Toroch
13		By Ted Buck, WSBA #22029
14		Attorneys for Defendant City of Seattle
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

VERIFICATION OF STATE COURT RECORDS - 2

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

A T T O R N E Y S

3100 TWO UNION SQUARE
601 UNION STREET
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1374
TEL (206) 623-9900
FEX (206) 624-6885

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE ASSIGNMENT DESIGNATION

and

CASE INFORMATION COVER SHEET

Please check one category that hest describes this case for indexing purposes. Accurate case indexing bot only saves time but helps in forecasting judicial resources. A faulty document fee of \$15 will be assessed to new case fillings missing this sheet pursuant to Administrative Rule 2 and King County Code 4,71.100.

<u>A</u> PPEAL/REVIEW	ADOMINATERNITY
Administrative Law Review (ALR 2)*	Adoption (ADP 5)
Civil, DOL (DOL 2)*	Challenge to Acknowledgment of Paternity (PAT 5)*
_	Challenge to Denial of Paternity (PAT 5)*
CONTRACT/COMMERCIAL	Confidential Intermediary (MSC 5)
Breach of Contract (COM 2)*	Establish Perenting Plan-Existing King County Paternity [MSC 3]*
Commercial Contract (COM 2)*	Initial Pro-Placement Report (PPR 5)
Commercial Non-Contract (COL 2)*	Modification (MOD 5)*
Meretricious Relationship (MER 2)*	Modification-Support Only (MDS 5)*
Third Party Collection (COL 2)*	Paternity (PAT 5)*
-	Patemity/UIFSA (PUR 5)*
DOMESTIC RELATIONS	Registration of Out of State Support Court Order (FJU 5)
Annulment/Invalidity (with dependent children? Y)(INV3)*	Relinquishment (REL 5)
Child Custody (CUS 3)*	Relocation Objection/Modification (MOD 5)*
Nonparental Custody (CUS 3)*	Rescission of Asknowledgment of Paternity (PAT 5)*
Dissolution With Children (DIC 3)*	Resolution of Denial of Paternity (PAT 5)*
Dissolution With No Children (DIN 3)*	Termination of Parent-Child Relationship (TER 5)
Enforcement/Show Couse Out of County (MSC 3)	
Establish Residential Sched/Purenting Plan(FPS 3)* 55	
Establish Residential Sched Supprt Only (PPS 3)* ££	
Legal Separation (with dependent children? Y N) (SEP 3)*	
Mandatory Wage Assignment (MWA 3)	•
Modification (MOD 3)*	•
Medification - Support Only (MDS 3)*	
Out-of-state Custody Order Registration (OSC 3 or 5)	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE/ANTHARASSMENT
Reciprocal, Respondent in County (RIC 3)	Civil Hagasment (HAR 2)
Reciprocal, Respondent Out of County (ROC 3)	Confidential Name Change (CHN 5)
Registration of Out of State Support Court Order (FTU 3)	Domestic Violence (DVP 2)
Relocation Objection/Medification (MOD 3)*	Domestic Violence with Children (DVC 2)
_	Foreign Protection Order (FPO 2)
	Vulnerable Adult Protection (VAP 2)
	Civil Harassment (HAR 2)

EL Paternity Affidavit or Existing/Paternity is not an issue and NO other case exists in King County* The filing party will be given an appropriate case schedule.

** Case schedule will be issued after hearing and findings.

L: forms/enshiers/clos Rev 01/04 Exhibit 2

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE ASSIGNMENT DESIGNATION

CASE INFORMATION COVER SHEET					
Please check one category that best describes this case for indexing publishes in forecasting judicial resources. A faulty document the of \$15 pursuant to Administrative Rule 2 and King County Code 4.71,100.	proses. Accurate case indeeding not only saves time but				
PROPERTY RIGHTS	PROBATE/GUARDIANSHIP				
Condemnation/Eminent Domain (CON 2)*	Absence (ABS 4)				
Foresignate (FOR 2)*	Disclaimer (DSC4)				
Land Usc Perition (LUP 2)*	Estate (EST 4)				
Property Fairness (PPA 2)*	Poreign Will (FNW 4)				
Quiet Title (QTI 2)*	Guardian (GDN4)				
Unlawful Detainer (UND 2)	Limited Guardianship (LGD 4)				
	Minor Settlement (MST 4)				
JUDGMENT	Non-Probate Notice to Creditors (NNC 4)				
Confession of Judgment (MSC 2)*	Trust (TRS 4)				
Judgment, Another County, Abstract (ABJ 2)	Trust Estate Dispute Resolution Act/POA (TDR 4)				
Judgment, Another State or Country (FJU 2)	Will Only (WLL4)				
Tax Warrant (TAX 2)	_				
Transcript of Judgment (TRJ 2)	TORT, WEDICAL MALPRACTICE				
<u> </u>	Hospital (MED 2)*				
OTHER COMPLAINT/PETITION	Medical Doctor (MED 2)*				
Action to Compel/Confirm Private Binding Arbitration (MSC 2)	Other Health Care Professional (MED 2)*				
Cornificate of Rebebilitation (MSC 2)	5				
Change of Name (CFIN 2)	TORT, MOTOR VEBICLE				
Deposit of Surplus Funds (MSC 2)	Death (TMV 2)*				
Emancipation of Minor (EQM 2)	Non-Death Injuries (TMV 2)*				
	Property Damage Only (TMV 2)*				
Frivolous Claim of Lien (MSC 2)	[] Exploits passage only (1965 s).				
Jajunctien (INJ 2)*	TORT. NON-MOTOR VEHICLE				
Interpleader (MSC 2)					
Malicious Flarassment (MHA 2)*	Asbectos (PIN 2)**				
Non-Indicial Filing (MSC 2)	Implants (PIN 2)				
Other Complaint/Petition(MSC 2)*	Other Majoractica (MAL 2)*				
Selzure of Property from the Commission of a Crime (SPC 2)*	Personal Injury (PIN 2)*				
Seizure of Property Resulting from a Crime (SPR 2)*	Products Liability (TTO 2)*				
Structured Settlements (MSC 2)*	Property Damage (PRP 2)*				
Subpoena (MSC 2)	Wrongful Death (WDE 2)*				
	A Tort, Other (TTO 2)"				
	,				
	WRIT				
	Habeas Corpus (WHC 2)				
	Mandamus (WRM 2)**				
1	Review (WRV 2)**				
* The filing party will be given an appropriate case schedule. ** Case	schedule will be issued after bearing and findings.				
	2				
T + Carront for a laboratoria c	•				

3

L: forms/casiviers/old Rev 01/04

FILED

FILED

2004 FEB 26 PM 1: 33

SUPERIOR COUNTY SEATTLE, WA KING OF SUPERIOR OF

BARRANA MINER

DIRECTOR & SUPERIOR COURT CLERK
SEATTLE NO

04-2-04864-B

Rnot Nate And Their T. 2/76/2004 2/26/2004 01:54

Receipt/Item # Tran-Code Docket-Code 2004-13-02753/01 1100 SFR Cashier: JSS

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE ASSIGNMENT DESIGNATION and CASE INFORMATION COVER SHEET

(cics)

Transaction Amount:

PAIN BY: SHITCHET, FRANKLIN

\$110.00

In accordance with LRS2(e), a faulty document fee of \$15 will be assessed to new case filings missing this sheet pursuant to King County Code 4.71.100.

CASE CAPTION:

CASE C

WSBA Number

Petitioner/Plaintiff

L: forms/cashiers/cics Rev 01/04 ORIGINAL

1

RECEIVED

OHMAY 25 PM 4: L8

CITY OF SEATTLE
MAYOR'S OFFICE



IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR KING COUNTY

BETTY JEAN NAGEL

Plaintiff,

NO. 04-2-04864-8 SEA

SUMMONS

VŞ.

б

JOHN or JANE DOE I THROUGH VI, and CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal corporation,

Defendant.

TO THE DEFENDANT: CITY OF SEATTLE

- 1. A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled court by Keith Fair and Nancy Fair, plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' claim is stated in the written complaint, a copy of which is served upon you with this summons.
- 2. In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the complaint by stating your defense in writing, and serving a copy upon the person signing this summons within 20 days after the service of this summons, excluding the day of service, or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where the plaintiffs are entitled to

FRANKLIN W. SHOICHET
Attorney at Law
3250 Bank of California Center 900 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98164-1072
Voice 206.382,0000 Fax 206.382,9109

- (B) forcibly pulled or caused another individual defendant to forcibly pull Ms. Nagel from where she was standing inside her home at her doorway, in order to facilitate the above warrantless entry, and with unreasonable force.
- 5. The above actions were in violation of plaintiff's rights to be free of unreasonable searches and seizures under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and were thus a violation of 42 USC §1983. In addition, the above actions were a violation of plaintiff's rights under Art. I, §7 of the Washington Constitution.
- 6. Defendant City of Seartle caused the above violations by a formal or informal policy of inadequate supervision or discipline of police officers, and was thus in violation of 42 USC §1983, including but not limited to:
- a. a policy of inadequate funding and staffing of the Internal Investigations Unit of the Seattle Police Department, predictably resulting in unreasonable delays in the pursuit of such internal investigations, such that these complaints against its officers were less likely to be investigated while reasonably fresh and thus less likely to be sustained; and
- b. a long-time informal policy of not sustaining unreasonable force complaints, except in the most minuscule numbers, despite a preponderance of evidence to the contrary for many such complaints, such as to provide no practical deterrence to the use of such force.
- 7. As a proximate result of the above acts and omissions, plaintiff suffered invasion of her home and privacy, physical injury, medical expense, pain, and suffering.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests the following relief:

- a. Judgment against defendants and each of them in an amount to be proven at trial for her compensatory damages;
- b. An award of punitive damages against those individual defendants who acted in reckless or callous disregard of plaintiff's rights, per 42 USC §1983;
 - c. An award of reasonable attorney's fees, per 42 USC §1988; and
 - d. Such other relief as the court deems just and equitable.

Date: 2/24/04

FRANKLIN W. SHOICHET Attorney for Plaintiff, WSBA #6661

Michael S. Kolker Attorney for Plaintiff, WSBA #6661.

FRANKLIN W. SHOICHET
Atterney at Law
3250 Bank of California Center 900 Fourth Avenue
Scattle, Washington 98164-1005
Voice 206.382.0000 Fax 206.382.9109

1 2 3 4 5 б 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY 8 9 BETTY JEAN NAGEL. NO. Plaintiff. 10 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 11 JOHN or JANE DOE I THROUGH VI, 12 CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal 13 corporation, Defendants. 14 Plaintiff alleges as follows: 15 1. Plaintiff is a resident of King County and a citizen of the United States within the 16 17 meaning of 42 USC §1983. 2. Defendant John or Jane Doe I through IV's true identities are unknown to plaintiff and 18 they are accordingly sued under pseudonyms. They are City of Seattle police officers and at all 19 20 relevant times acted under color of law of the State of Washington. They are sued in their individual capacity. 21 3. Defendant City of Seattle is a municipal corporation in King County, existing by and 22 23 under the laws of the State of Washington. At all relevant times, it acted under color of law of 24 the State of Washington. 4. On or about March 5, 2001, one or more of the individual defendants: 25 26 (A) entered or caused another individual defendant to enter Ms. Nagel's home without 27 her permission, without probable cause and without a warrant, and when she had expressly declined to give such permission; and

28

- (B) forcibly pulled or caused another individual defendant to forcibly pull Ms. Nagel from where she was standing inside her home at her doorway, in order to facilitate the above warrantless entry, and with unreasonable force.
- 5. The above actions were in violation of plaintiff's rights to be free of unreasonable searches and seizures under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and were thus a violation of 42 USC §1983. In addition, the above actions were a violation of plaintiff's rights under Art. I, §7 of the Washington Constitution.
- 6. Defendant City of Seattle caused the above violations by a formal or informal policy of inadequate supervision or discipline of police officers, and was thus in violation of 42 USC §1983, including but not limited to:
- a. a policy of inadequate funding and staffing of the Internal Investigations Unit of the Seattle Police Department, predictably resulting in unreasonable delays in the pursuit of such internal investigations, such that these complaints against its officers were less likely to be investigated while reasonably fresh and thus less likely to be sustained; and
- b. a long-time informal policy of not sustaining unreasonable force complaints, except in the most minuscule numbers, despite a preponderance of evidence to the contrary for many such complaints, such as to provide no practical deterrence to the use of such force.
- 7. As a proximate result of the above acts and omissions, plaintiff suffered invasion of her home and privacy, physical injury, medical expense, pain, and suffering.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests the following relief:

- a. Judgment against defendants and each of them in an amount to be proven at trial for her compensatory damages;
- b. An award of punitive damages against those individual defendants who acted in reckless or callous disregard of plaintiff's rights, per 42 USC §1983;
 - c. An award of reasonable attorney's fees, per 42 USC §1988; and
 - d. Such other relief as the court deems just and equitable.

•

FRANKLIN W. SHOICHET Attorney for Plaintiff, WSBA #6661

MICHAEL S. KOLKER Attorney for Plaintiff, WSBA #6661

FRANKLIN W. SHOICHET

Attorney at Law
3250 Bank of California Center 900 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98164-1005
Voice 206.382.0000 Fax 206.382.9109

Case 2:04-cy-01-46825540 Document 3 Filed 06/24/04 Page 12 of 21

RECEIVED

04 HAY 25 PM 4:48

CITY OF SEATTLE
HAY OR'S OFFICE

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

M AND FOR	TINE COURT OF MAG
Vagel	NO. 04-2-04864-8 SEA
Plaintiff(s),	ORDER SETTING CIVIL CASE SCHEDULE
vs.	ASSIGNED JUDGE: STEVE SCOTT
Does of City of Scattle Defendant(s).	TRIAL DATE: Mon 7/18/08 (*ORSCS)

On Thu 2/26/04, a civil case has been filed in the King County Superior Court and will be managed by the Case Schedule on Page 3 as ordered by the King County Superior Court Presiding Judge.

1. NOTICES

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF:

The Plaintiff may serve a copy of this Order Setting Case Schedule (Schedule) on the Defendant(s) along with the Summons and Complaint/Petition. Otherwise, the Plaintiff shall serve the Schedule on the Defendant(s) within 10 days after the later of: (1) the filling of the Summons and Complaint/Petition or (2) service of the Defendant's first response to the Complaint/Petition, whether that response is a Notice of Appearance, a response, or a Civil Rule 12 (CR 12) motion. The Schedule may be served by regular mail, with proof of mailling to be filled promptly in the form required by Civil Rule 5 (CR 5).

"I understand that I am required to give a copy of these documents to all papies in this case."

Franklin Shorehet : Lawlin W. Ahon Print Name Sign Name

Exhibit 2

I. NOTICES (continued)

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES:

All attorneys and parties should make themselves familiar with the King County Local Rules [KCLR] — especially those referred to in this Schedule. In order to comply with the Schedule, it will be necessary for attorneys and parties to pursue their cases vigorously from the day the case is filled. For example, discovery must be undertaken promptly in order to comply with the deadlines for joining additional parties, claims, and defenses, for disclosing possible witnesses [See KCLR 26], and for meeting the discovery cutoff date [See KCLR 37(g)].

SHOW CAUSE HEARINGS FOR <u>CIVIL</u> CASES (King County Local Rule 4(g))

A Show Cause Hearing will be held before the assigned judge if the case is not at issue. The Order to Show Cause will be mailed to all parties. The parties or counsel are required to attend. A Confirmation of Joinder, Claims and Defenses must be filed by the deadline in the schedule.

PENDING DUE DATES CANCELED BY FILING PAPERS THAT RESOLVE THE CASE:

When a final decree, judgment, or order of dismissal of <u>all parties and claims</u> is filed with the Superior Court Clerk's Office, and a courtesy copy delivered to the assigned judge, all pending due dates in this Schedule are automatically canceled, including the scheduled Trial Date. It is the responsibility of the parties to 1) file such dispositive documents within 45 days of the resolution of the case, and 2) strike any pending motions by notifying the balliff to the assigned Judge.

Parties may also authorize the Superior Court to strike all pending due dates and the Trial Date by filing a Notice of Settlement pursuant to KCLR 41, and forwarding a courtesy copy to the assigned judge. If a final decree, judgment or order of dismissal of all parties and claims is not filed by 45 days after a Notice of Settlement, the case may be dismissed with notice.

If you miss your scheduled Trial Date, the Superior Court Clerk is authorized by KCLR 41(b)(2)(A) to present an *Order of Dismissal*, without notice, for failure to appear at the scheduled Trial Date.

NOTICES OF APPEARANCE OR WITHDRAWAL AND ADDRESS CHANGES:

All parties to this action must keep the court informed of their addresses. When a Notice of Appearance/Withdrawal or Notice of Change of Address is filled with the Superior Court Clerk's Office, parties must provide the assigned judge with a courtesy copy.

ARBITRATION FILING AND TRIAL DE NOVO POST ARBITRATION FEE:

A Statement of Arbitrability must be filed by the deadline on the schedule if the case is subject to mandatory arbitration and is at issue. If mandatory arbitration is required after the deadline, parties must obtain an order from the assigned judge transferring the case to arbitration. Any party filing a Statement must pay a \$220 arbitration fee (effective 10/1/2002), if a party seeks a trial de novo when an arbitration award is appealed, a fee of \$250 and the request for trial de novo must be filed with the Clerk's Office Cashiers.

NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANCE FEES:All parties will be assessed a fee authorized by King County Code 4.71,050 whenever the Superior Court Clerk must send notice of non-compliance of schedule requirements squdor Local Rule 41.

II. CASE SCHEDULE

CASE EVENT DEADLINE OF EV				
Case Filed and Schedule Issued	Thu	2/26/04		
✓ Confirmation of Service [See KCLR 4.1]	Thu	3/25/04		
✓ Last Day for Filing Statement of Arbitrability without a Showing of Good Cause for Late Filing [See KCLMAR 2.1(a) and Notices on Page 2] \$220 arbitration fee must be paid	Thu	8/05/04		
— OR[Consult Local Rules to determine which document applies for your case.] Confirmation of Joinder of Parties, Claims and Defenses [See KCLR 4.2(a)(2)] NOTE: If "Joinder" document applies to the case and is not filed, the	Thu	6/0 5/04		
perties may be <u>required</u> to appear at the Show Cause Hearing.				
DEADLINE for Hearing Motions to Change Case Assignment Area [KCLR 82(9)]	Thu	8/19/04		
DEADLINE for Disclosure of Possible Primary Witnesses [See KCLR 26(b)]	Mon	2/14/05		
✓ DEADLINE for Disclosure of Possible Rebuttal Witnesses [See KCLR 26(c)]	Mon	3/28/05		
✓ DEADLINE for Jury Demand [See KCLR 38(b)(2)]	Моп	4/11/05		
DEADLINE for a Change in Trial Date [See KCLR 40(e)(2)]	Mon	4/11/05		
DEADLINE for Discovery Cutoff [See KCLR 37(g)]	Tue	5/31/05		
DEADLINE for Engaging in Alternative Dispute Resolution [See attached Order]	Mon	6/20/05		
✓ DEADLINE to file Joint Confirmation of Trial Readiness [See attached Order]	Моп	6/27/05		
DEADLINE: Exchange Witness & Exhibit Lists & Documentary Exhibits [KCLR 16(a)(3)]	Mon	6/27/05		
DEADLINE for Hearing Dispositive Pretrial Motions [See KCLR 56; CR 56]	Tue	7/05/05		
✓ Joint Statement of Evidence [See KCLR 16(a)(4)]	Mon	7/11/05		
Trial Date [See KCLR 40]	Mon	7/18/05		

✓ Indicates a document that must be filed with the Superior Court Clerk's Office by the date shown.

III. ORDER

Pursuant to King County Local Rule 4 [KCLR 4], IT IS ORDERED that the parties shall comply with the schedule listed above. Penalties, including but not limited to sanctions set forth in Local Rule 4(g) and Rule 37 of the Superior Court Civil Rules, may be imposed for non-compliance. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the party filling this action must serve this Order Setting Civil Case Schedule and attachment on all other parties.

DATED: 2/26/2004

Richard D. Fadie

PRESIDING JUDGE

IV. ORDER ON CIVIL PROCEEDINGS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO JUDGE

READ THIS ORDER PRIOR TO CONTACTING YOUR ASSIGNED JUDGE

This case is assigned to the Superior Court Judge whose name appears in the caption of this Schedule. The assigned Superior Court Judge will preside over and manage this case for all pre-trial matters.

<u>COMPLEX LITIGATION</u>: If you anticipate an unusually complex or lengthy trial, please notify the assigned court as soon as possible.

The following procedures hereafter apply to the processing of this case:

APPLICABLE RULES:

a. Except as specifically modified below, all the provisions of King County Local Rules 4 through-26 shall apply to the processing of civil cases before Superior Court Judges.

CASE SCHEDULE AND REQUIREMENTS:

- A. Show Cause Hearing: A Show Cause Hearing will be held before the Chief Civil/Chief RIC judge if the case does not have confirmation of service on all parties, answers to all claims, crossclaims, or counterclaims as well as the confirmation of joinder or statement of arbitrability filed before the deadline in the attached case schedule. All parties will receive an Order to Show Cause that will set a specific date and time for the hearing. Parties and/or counsel who are required to attend will be named in the order.
- B. Pretrial Order: An order directing completion of a Joint Confirmation of Trial Readiness Report will be mailed to all parties approximately six (6) weeks before trial. This order will contain deadline dates for the pretrial events listed in King County Local Rule 16:
 - 1) Settlement/Mediation/ADR Requirement;
 - 2) Exchange of Exhibit Lists;
 - 3) Date for Exhibits to be available for review:
 - 4) Deadline for disclosure of witnesses:
 - 5) Deadline for filing Joint Statement of Evidence;
 - 6) Trial submissions, such as briefs, Joint Statement of Evidence, jury instructions;
 - 7) voir dire questions, etc;
 - Use of depositions at trial;
 - 9) Deadlines for nondispositive motions;
 - 10) Deadline to submit exhibits and procedures to be followed with respect to exhibits;
 - 11) Witnesses identity, number, testimony;
- C. <u>Joint Confirmation regarding Trial Readiness Report:</u> No later than twenty one (21) days before the trial date, parties shall complete and file (with a copy to the assigned judge) a joint confirmation report setting forth whether a jury demand has been filed, the expected duration of the trial, whether a settlement conference has been held, and special problems and needs (e.g. interpreters, equipment), etc. If parties wish to request a CR 16 conference, they must contact the assigned court. Plaintiff/petitioner's counsel is responsible for contacting the other parties regarding said report.
- D. Settlement/Modiation/ADR:
 - 1) Forty five (45) days before the Trial Date, counsel for plaintiff shall submit a written settlement demand. Ten (10) days after receiving plaintiff's written demand, control for defendant shall respond (with a countertifier, if appropriate).
 - 2) Twenty eight (Z8) days before the Trial Date, a settlement/mediation/ADR conference shall have been held. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE REQUIREMENT MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS.
- E. Trial: Trial is scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on the date on the Schedule or as soon thereafter as convened by the court. The Friday before trial, the parties should access the King County Superior Court website at www.metroke.gov/keas to confirm trial judge assignment. Information can also be obtained by calling (206) 205-5984.

MOTIONS PROCEDURES:

A. Noting of Motions

- 1) Dispositive Motions: All Summary Judgment or other motions that dispose of the case in whole or in part will be heard with oral argument before the assigned judge. The moving party must arrange with the courts a date and time for the hearing, consistent with the court rules.
 - King County Local Rule 7 and King County Local Rule 56 govern procedures for all summary judgment or other motions that dispose of the case in whole or in part. The local rules can be found at www.mstroke.gov/kcscc.
- 2) Nondispositive Motions: These motions, which include discovery motions, will be ruled on by the assigned judge without oral argument, unless otherwise ordered. All such motions must be noted for a date by which the ruling is requested; this date must likewise conform to the applicable notice requirements. Rather than noting a time of day, the Note for Motion should state "Without Oral Argument." King County Local Rule 7 governs these motions, which include discovery motions. The local rules can be found at www.metrokc.gov/kcscc.
- 3) Motions in Family Law Cases not involving children: Discovery motions to compel, motions in limine, motions relating to trial dates and motions to vacate judgments/dismissals shall be brought before the assigned judge. All other motions should be noted and heard on the Family Law Motions Calendar. King County Local Rule 7 and King County Local Rule 94,04 govern these procedures. The local rules can be found at www.metrokc.gov/kcscc.
- 4) Emergency Motions: Emergency motions will be allowed only upon entry of an Order Shortening Time. However, emergency discovery disputes may be addressed by telephone call, and without written motion, if the judge approves.
- B. Filing of Documents All original documents must be filed with the Clerk's Office. The working copies of all documents in support or opposition must be marked on the upper right corner of the first page with the date of consideration or hearing and the name of the assigned judge. The assigned judge's working copy must be delivered to his/her courtroom or to the judges' mailroom. Do not file working copies with the Motions Coordinator, except those motions to be heard on the Family Law Motions. Calendar, in which case the working copies should be filed with the Family Law Motions Coordinator.
 - Original Proposed Order: Bach of the parties must include in the working copy materials submitted on any motion an original proposed order susmining his/her side of the argument. Should any party desire a copy of the order as signed and filed by the judge, a preaddressed, stamped envelope shall accompany the proposed order.
 - 2) Presentation of Orders: All orders, agreed or otherwise, must be presented to the assigned judge. If that judge is absent, contact the assigned court for further instructions. If another judge enters an order on the case, counsel is responsible for providing the assigned judge with a copy.

Proposed orders finalizing settlement and/or dismissal by agreement of all parties shall be presented to the assigned judge or in the Ex Parte Department. Formal proof in Family Law cases must be scheduled before the assigned judge by contacting the bailiff, or formal proof may be entered in the Ex Parte Department. If final orders and/or formal proof are entered in the Ex Parte Department, counsel is responsible for providing the assigned judge with a copy.

C. Form: Memoranda/briefs for matters heard by the assigned judge may not exceed twenty four (24) pages for dispositive motions and twelve (12) pages for nondispositive motions, unless the assigned judge permits over-length memoranda/briefs in advance of filing. Over-length memoranda/briefs and motions supported by such memoranda/briefs may be stricken.

IT IS SO ORDERED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDER MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OR OTHER SANCTIONS. PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER SHALL FORWARD A COPY OF THIS ORDER AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE TO ANY PARTY WHO HAS NOT RECEIVED THIS ORDER.

Richard D. Eadlerestong Judge

FILED

2004 MAY 26 PM 4:21

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING, STATE OF WASHINGTON

BETTY JEAN NAGEL

CAUSE NO. 04 2 04864 85BA

Declaration of Service of: SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES; ORDER SETTING ORIGINAL CIVIL CASE SCHEDULE

Plaintiff/Petitioner

JOHN OR JANE DOE! THROUGH VI, ET AL.,

Defendant/Respondent

Heating Date:

DECLARATION:

The undersigned hereby declares: That s(he) is now and at all, times herein mentioned was a citizen of the United States and resident of the State of Washington, over the age of eighteen, not an officer of a plaintiff corporation, not a party to nor interested in the above entitled action, and is compenient to be a witness therein.

On the date and time of May 25 2004 10:47AM at the address of 601 5TH AVE 7TH FLOOR SEATTLE within the County of King State of Washington, the declarant duly served the above described documents upon CITY OF SEATTLE, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION by then and there personally delivering 1 true and correct copy(ies) thereof, by then presenting to and leaving the same with MELIA L. BROOKS ADMINISTRATIVE SPECIALIST IN THE OFFICE OF THE MAYOR. No information was provided that indicates that the subjects served are members of the U.S. military.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated May 25, 2004 at

S. Roberta

Service Fees Total 57.55

ABC Legal Services, tac.

(206) 623-8771

ORIGINAL PROOF OF SERVICE SHOICHET, FRANK 900 4th Ave, #3250 Scattle, WA 98164

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON _ IN AND FOR KING COUNTY

BETTY JEAN NAGEL

Plaintiff.

NO.

4-8 - 04864 - 8 SEA

SUMMONS

JOHN or JANE DOE I THROUGH VI, and CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal corporation, Defendant.

TO THE DEFENDANT: CITY OF SEATTLE

- 1. A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled court by Keith Fair and Nancy Fair, plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' claim is stated in the written complaint, a copy of which is served upon you with this summons.
- 2. In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the complaint by stating your defense in writing, and serving a copy upon the person signing this summons within 20 days after the service of this summons, excluding the day of service, or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where the plaintiffs are entitled to

FRANKLIN W. SHOICHET Altorney at Law 2250 Sants of California Center 900 Fourth Avenue Scottle, Washington 99164-1072
Voice 206.382,0000 Pax 206.382,9109

Summons ~ P.

The Honorable Steven Scott 1 2 3 4 5 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 7 IN AND FOR KING COUNTY 8 No. 04-2-04864-8SEA 9 BETTY JEAN NAGEL. 10 Plaintiff. NOTICE OF APPEARANCE 11 12 JOHN or JANE DOE I through VI and CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal corporation, 13 Defendants. 14 TO: The Clerk of the Court, King County Superior Court; 15 AND TO: Franklin W. Shoichet and Michael S. Kolker, Attorneys for Plaintiff: 16 YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned 17 hereby appears as counsel of record for defendant CITY OF SEATTLE, without waiving 18 the guestions of: 19 Lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter; 1. 20 2. Lack of jurisdiction over the person; 21 3. Improper venue; 22 4. Insufficiency of process: 23 5. Insufficiency of service of process; NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 1

M:\CLIENTS\3019\25992\PLD NOA.DOC

STAFFORD FREY COOPER
A T T O R N E Y S

3100 TWO UNION SQUARE 601 UNION STREET SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1374 TEL. (206) 623-9900 FAX (206) 624-6865

23

- 6. Failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; and
- 7. Failure to join a party under Rule 19.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that all further papers and pleadings herein, except original process, shall be served upon the undersigned attorneys at the address stated below.

DATED this 23 day of June, 2004.

STAFFORD FREY COOPER Professional Corporation

By_

Ted Buck, WSBA #22029

Attorneys for Defendant City of Seattle

Buch

22

23

Certificate of Service

The undersigned certifies under the penalty of perjury according to the laws of the United States and the State of Washington that on this date I caused to be served in the manner noted below a copy of this document entitled **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE** on the following individual:

Franklin W. Shoichet Michael S. Kolker 3250 Bank of California Center 900 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98164-1005

Attorneys for Plaintiff

[**X]** Via Messenger [] Via Mail [] Via Facsimile

DATED this 23 day of June, 2004 at Seattle, Washington.

Brina Carranza

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE - 3

M:\CLIENTS\3019\25992\PLD NOA.DOC

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

A T T O R N E Y S
3100 TWO UNION SQUARE
601 UNION STREET
SEATTLE, WASHINGTHIN 98101-1374
Tel. (206) 623-9900
FAX (206) 624-6885